

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/484,721	01/18/2000	Kozo Yokoyama	FUJH16.825	1024
7:	590 11/21/2003	EXAMINER		
KATTEN MU	JCHIN ZAVIS ROSE	NGUYEN, TAN D		
575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK,, NY 10022-2585			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1.2 10,	10022 2000		3629	
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		×			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/484,721	YOKOYAMA, KOZO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tan Dean Nguyen	3629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by second and the period by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report. In. In a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>15 May 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-7,9,11 and 12</u> is/are pendi					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-7,9,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	<i>'</i>				
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	<u>, </u>	·			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	•				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor					
Attachment(s)		· -			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	3) 5) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 11			

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed 3/31/03 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

The abandonment of 5/6/03 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims <u>1</u>, 3, 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

In order for the claimed invention to be statutory subject matter, the claimed invention must fall within one of the statutory classes of invention as set forth in § 101 (i.e. a process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter). In the present case, claims 1, 3, 5-6 is directed to a "method for controlling replacement of plural terminal equipment in a building management system", which is not within one of the classes of invention set forth in § 101.

The process for "controlling replacement of plural terminal equipment in a building management system" comprising (a) tabulating step and (b) switching hard addresses corresponding to the points to be managed are merely an abstract idea and do not produce a useful, tangible, concrete results.

The process for "controlling replacement of plural terminal equipment in a building management system" are merely an abstract idea and does not involve any

Art Unit: 3629

<u>computer</u> or <u>structural means</u> to carry out any functions and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1, 3, 5-6, 7, 9, 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 calls for a "method for controlling <u>replacement</u> of plural terminal equipment in a building management sytem" as shown in the preamble but fails to include the term "<u>replacement</u>" in the body of the claims. Also, on line 8, the phrase "the <u>tabled</u> connecting status" lacks antecedent basis.

Also, 2nd step "switching ... new terminal equipment" is vague and indefinite because it's not clear what is being claimed and the relationship between "tabled connecting status" and "correspondence" and how all of this relating to the "controlling replacement" of the plural terminal equipment.

Claims 3, 5-6 are written in passive/inactive state which are vague and indefinite for a method claim. Conversion the claim language in active/positive state is recommended to improve clarity.

Claim 7 calls for a "system for controlling a <u>replacement</u> of plural terminal equipment in a building management system" as shown in the preamble but fails to include structural related to or means for "controlling a <u>replacement</u>" in the body of the claims.

Art Unit: 3629

Claims 7, 9, 11-12 are written in passive/inactive state which are vague and indefinite for an <u>apparatus</u> claim. Conversion the claim language in active/positive state showing the structures or elements or the apparatus is required to improve claim clarity. Note that <u>manner or method</u> in which a machine or system is to <u>be operated</u> is <u>not</u> germane to the issue of patentability of the system itself. See In re Casey 152 USPQ 235 (238) CCPA 1967. For example, in claim 7, phrases such as "terminal equipment is used", "points to be managed", "wherein the hard address corresponding to the point to be managed is switched" And "center device is controlled" is vague since these are not structures but merely method steps.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims <u>1</u>, 3, 5-6, <u>7</u>, 9, 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ALLEN et al (US Patent 5,680,113).

As for claim 1, ALLEN et al discloses a method for controlling replacement of plural terminal equipment comprising the steps of: (a) tabulating a connecting status showing existing terminal equipment is used in each point to be managed and correspondence between hard addresses of existing terminal equipment and replacement, and (b) switching hard addresses corresponding to the point to be managed according the connecting status of the existing terminal equipment or replacement and the correspondence between the hard addresses of the existing terminal equipment and the replaced terminal equipment (see Figs. 1, 4, col. 2, lines 1-30, col. 6, lines 5-65, col. 8, lines 1-60). As for the limitation of "in a building management system" in the preamble, this carries little patentable weight since it

appears only in the preamble and not in the body of the claims. Moreover, it would have been obvious to apply the general teaching of "replacing equipment" in other similar environment facing problems of replacing equipment as in a building management. Also, the tabulating of a connecting status showing existing terminal equipment is used in each point to be managed is shown on Fig. 4 and alternatively, this would have been obvious as a matter of choice in view of the general teaching as shown on Fig. 4.

As for claim 3, the use of a flag for error or indication of other desired event would have been obvious in view of the teaching on col. 10. As for claims 5-6, ALLEN et al disclose a <u>test</u> procedure of error status (col. 12). The use of test mode for other procedure would have been obvious if desired.

As for claim 7, ALLEN et al discloses a center device having a table for a connecting status showing existing terminal equipment (Figs. 2, 3, 4), existing terminal equipment which is connected to the device (Figs. 2, 3, 4), and the capability for switching hard address corresponding to the point to be managed (Cols. 6, 7, 8). As for claims 9, 10-11, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 3, 5-6 above.

Art Unit: 3629

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments, see Amendment A, filed 3/31/03, with respect to claims 1-
- 4, 7-10 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claims 1-4, 7-

10 over Barrett in view of Kojima et al have been withdrawn.

6. Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiner. As the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining Tech Center, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiner. See MPEP 203.08. The Tech Center clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1113.

In receiving an Office Action, it becomes apparent that certain documents are missing, e. g. copies of references, Forms PTO 1449, PTO-892, etc., requests for copies should be directed to Tech Center 3600 Customer Service at (703) 306-5771, or e-mail CustomerService3600@uspto.gov

Any inquiry concerning the merits of the examination of the application should be directed to <u>Dean Tan Nguyen at telephone number (703) 308-2053</u>. My work schedule is normally Monday through Friday from 7:00 am through 4:30 pm.

Should I be unavailable during my normal working hours, my supervisor John Weiss may be reached at (703) 308-2702. The <u>FAX phone</u> numbers for formal communications concerning this application are <u>(703) 305-7687</u>. Informal communications may be made, following a telephone call to the examiner, by an informal FAX number to be given.

Other possibly helpful telephone numbers are:

Allowed Files & Publication (703) 305-8322
Assignment Branch (703) 308-9287
Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309

Drawing Corrections/Draftsman (703) 305-8404/ 8335

Fee Questions (703) 305-5125 Intellectual Property Questions (703) 305-8217

Petitions/Special Programs (703) 305-9282 Terminal Disclaimers (703) 305-8408

Information Help Line 1-800-786-9199

dtn

DEANT. NOUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINES